

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Patent and Trademark Office DD Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED II	NVENTOR			ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	
8/981,654	01/08/98	KANEKO		Υ	9714	180	
_			7 [EXAMINER			
per 6 4 are superpres	,, mp pm pm, t, a, A, E,	MM91/0302		NGUYE	The Th		
RMSTRUNG WE AUGHTON	SIERMAN HA	TORI MCLELAND &		ART		PAPER NUMBER	
725 K STREE UITE 1000 ASHINGTON D				2871 DATE MA		/02/01	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trad marks

			Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	(À	Advisory Action	08/981,654	KANEKO ET AL.				
·	6 5		Examiner	Art Unit				
			Dung Nguyen	2871				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address								
THE REPLY FILED 22 February 2001 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.								
	PERIOD FOR REPLY [check only a) or b)]							
a) 🛚 b) 🔲	In view of reply expi whicheve	od for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date the early submission of the proposed reply (within res on the mailing date of this Advisory Action, OR r is later. In no event, however, will the statutory po ate of the final rejection.	two months as set forth in MPEP § 706. continues to run from the mailing date of	of the final rejection,				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.								
2. The proposed amendment(s) will be entered upon the timely submission of a Notice of Appeal and Appeal Brief with requisite fees.								
3. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:								
(a) ⊠ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (see NOTE below);								
(b) they raise the issue of new matter. (see Note below);								
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or								
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.								
NOTE: Claim 1 amendment would require further consideration and search.								
4. 🗌 Ap	plicant's	reply has overcome the following rejectio	n(s):					
		oosed or amended claim(s) would I the non-allowable claim(s).	oe allowable if submitted in a sep	parate, timely filed amendment				
		ffidavit, b)☐ exhibit, or c)☐ request for an in condition for allowance because:		ered but does NOT place the				
_	7. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.							
8. 🛛 F	or purpos	es of Appeal, the status of the claim(s) is	as follows (see attached written	explanation, if any):				
C	laim(s) a	llowed:						
C	claim(s) c	bjected to:						
C	laim(s) r	ejected: <u>1-3</u> .						
C	laim(s) v	vithdrawn from consideration: <u>4-18</u> .						
9. 🔲 T	he propo	sed drawing correction filed on a)	☐has_b)☐ has not been appro	ved by the Examiner.				
10. 🔲 N	lote the a	ttached Information Disclosure Statemer	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	·				
11. 🗌 C	11. Other:							
			Si	Villiam L. Sikes upervisory Patent Examiner				
			T	echnology Center 2800				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office